



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,608	03/02/2005	Andreas Lucht	AFK-16214-WO-US	8772
30996	7590	07/30/2009	EXAMINER	
ROBERT W. BECKER & ASSOCIATES			HAUGLAND, SCOTT J	
707 HIGHWAY 333				
SUITE B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TIJERAS, NM 87059-7507			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/522,608	LUCHT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SCOTT HAUGLAND	3654	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jennifer Stachniak. (3) _____.

(2) Scott Haugland. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner will consider additional arguments/evidence concerning the 112 par. 1 rejection. A worm fixed to a movable shaft does not appear to distinguish over the art of record (note Andrei-Alexandru..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/SJH/

/John Q. Nguyen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654